Material, Form and Force

Conventionally, material in architecture has been treated as the ‘servant’ of form. An iterative design process, though, that continuously integrates material, form and force has the potential to unfold a new generative logic of form-finding. This offers ways of processing the flow of forces through a material object and balancing variations of form with the organisation and behaviour of material. Toni Kotnik and Michael Weinstock present a series of experimental construction projects, developed within the Emergent Technologies and Design (EmTech) programme at the Architectural Association (AA) in London, that explore the intricate relationship between material, form and force.
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Above: The temporary installation in front of the ETH Zurich architecture department building.

Left: The pavilion functions primarily as sun shading for parts of the stairs in front of the architecture department building. The construction is based on the bending behaviour of large 1.1 x 2.5 metre (36 x 8.2 feet) sheets of 18-millimetre (0.7-inch) thick plywood and spans about 8 metres (26 feet).
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The Primary of Form
Traditionally, however, the discourses within architecture and the visual techniques of architectural design practice have privileged form over material, with material rarely examined beyond its aesthetic properties or its technological capacities to act as a servant to form. In recent years, this tendency has been reinforced by contemporary methods of digital design with its emphasis on information-driven manipulation of NURBS-geometry within a computational environment, an approach that tends to exclude material from the generative process, leaving the corporal aspects of materiality to the later phases of adapting the designed form as a structure in preparation for fabrication and construction.

More recently, digital simulations of physical form-finding experiments, such as the hanging chain models or tensioned membranes originally used by architects and engineers like Antoni Gaudí, Frei Otto or Heinz Isler, have now become commonly available. Both methods produce optimised structural forms from a direct causal relationship between the spatiality of force flow and the geometry of material. But either in digital nor in physical form-finding techniques do material properties play a major defining role in the process; material is merely a subordinate means of tracing the form and making it buildable.

In addition, the digital design processes that exclude simulations of physical form-finding in favour of a process of negotiation between architectural and structural demands generally proceed by an integration of structural analysis into the later stages of the generative process. So they too have a similar hierarchical relationship between form and material, and result in a performance-oriented deformation of the initial form with respect to stress fields caused by the flow of forces along the form. In this way of working, the assumed materialisation of the form mediates between the intensity of the force flow and the amount of deformation. As before, the primary focus is on form as the direct resultant of the acting forces.

The Distribution of Material
In all these design approaches, however, it is evident that form cannot be treated independently of material, even when the strongest architectural interest is in form-finding. It is material through which forces flow, and the arrangement of material in space, the pattern of its distribution, directly influences the efficiency of the flow of forces, the direction of the flow and its intensity. This is evident in all living forms. For example, plants resist gravity and wind loads through variation of their form. In this way of working, the assumed materialisation of the form mediates between the intensity of the force flow and the amount of deformation. As before, the primary focus is on form as the direct resultant of the acting forces.

The adaptation of the form and the distribution of material are integrated in living organisms in response to the forces acting upon them. It has been the convention to study and computationally simulate form and material separately, but any adaptation of the form results in the immediate redistribution of matter in space and vice versa.

needed. This process also continues throughout the whole life of the organism whenever changes in stress and load occur. The reaction of formation wood in trees, needed to realign a trunk towards the vertical when it has experienced inclination growth or to offset loads from prevailing winds, and the mechanisms of bone remodelling, are perhaps the most widely studied examples of responsive distribution and accumulation of material. Reaction wood has a fibre orientation and cellular structure that is different to that of normal wood, and is produced in successive annual rings that vary in width and density as local circumstances require. In bones, material is removed from any areas that are not stressed and deposited in more highly stressed areas. For example, in the femur, the longest and largest bone of the human body, this leads to an accumulation of material at the greater trochanter where forces have to be redirected and, therefore, stresses are the highest. Among all the living forms of nature there are many differing load-bearing architectures, each a response to the specific set of load conditions that they experience. The evolution of all the multiple variations of biological form cannot be thought of as separate from the spatial distribution of material, and it is the integrated hierarchies of material organisation within their form from which their structural performance emerges.

The adaptation of the form and the distribution of material are integrated in living organisms in response to the forces acting upon them. It has been the convention to study and computationally simulate form and material separately, but any adaptation of the form results in the immediate redistribution of matter in space and vice versa. Materialised forms and formed material are complementary principles of materiality - distinguishable, but not dividable. Form and material act upon each other, and this interaction cannot be predicted by analysis of either one of them alone. Contemporary form-driven design approaches do not yet take full advantage of the possibilities offered by a generative system that integrates material, form and force as continuous iterations in the design process. When processing the flow of forces through a material object, and balancing variations of form with the organisation
and behaviour of material, the emergent form has the capacity to respond effectively to forces that will be imposed upon it in the physical world. This balancing of material, form and force is the focal point of a recent series of projects conducted within the Emergent Technologies and Design (EnTech) programme at the Architectural Association (AA) in London.

The Bifurcated Bridge

The design proposal for a temporary bridge structure between two buildings at the AA in London was developed in collaboration with the Institute of Computational Design (ICD) at the University of Stuttgart. It is an exploration of the distribution of material with respect to the stress field within a given form. The design is based on a U-shaped component system built out of flat and single-curved prefabricated timber and plywood elements. The components are connected by means of two inlaid steel plates that enable the bridge to function as a 'simply supported' system that rests on the existing brick walls, with load transferred along the vertical faces of the components. The pedestrian surface is attached to the vertical faces by curved elements, and a small gap separates neighbouring components.

The overall arch-like form of the two legs of the bridge has been in part the result of a form-oriented design approach during the initial design phase, with Gaudi's hanging chain model as precedence. As in the processes that govern the growth and development of bones, information from stress analysis was used within a feedback loop to successively relocate material along the U-shaped section of the components. This resulted in a differentiated distribution within the profile: a hollowing-out of the pedestrian surface, a thickening of the vertical load-bearing elements, and a concentration of material along the edge of the components. In addition, the process generated the formation of the curved top part of the U-shaped section, the integration of handrails as additional elements stiffened the bridge with respect to lateral loads.

The Pavilion

In collaboration with the Chair of Structural Design research unit of the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich (ETH Zurich), a temporary light timber construction has been designed that functions as sun shading for parts of the grand stairs in front of the ETH architecture department. It is based on bending behaviour under self-weight of overlayed sheets of plywood of up to 1.3 2.5 metres (36 x 8.2 feet). The design activates the material properties as the defining element in the transfer of forces, and the design method is related to the hanging chain model. The resulting form, however, is not achieved as a pure geometry of force independent of material as the chain model is, but instead as a direct reaction of the material to the forces acting upon it. Cuts within the sheets influence their bending resistance and so enable a larger spatial enclosure and reduced wind load acting upon the structure, additionally producing a shadow pattern on the stairs, which are used as a seating area during the summer. Varying the length of the sheets produces small variations of the bending curve that have been utilised for the overlapping and interlocking of adjacent elements. This is the system of self-stabilisation of the vaults, and the intensity of the forces that need to be transferred into the ground along timber plates is kept to a minimum.

The exploration of the sheet material and the manipulation of its bending properties by controlling the number of layers of ply and the fibre direction of these layers was the beginning of the design process. The precise geometry of the bending curve emerged out of the distribution of matter, the hierarchy within plywood as the composite material and given load conditions. Based on a systematic investigation into the defining parameters, sheets of 1.8-millimetre (0.7-inch) thickness with fibres mainly in longitudinal directions have been used for the pavilion. The inscribed louvres within the sheets influence the bending curve by functioning as dead load, adding to the self-weight of the continuous strips along the edge of the sheets. Along these edge strips, two sheets of different lengths are overlaid and cross-braced by a sequence of cables that distribute all other load conditions evenly within the strips, and so reduce additional deformation of the arched form to a minimum.

Varying the length of the sheets produces small variations of their bending resistance and so enable a larger spatial enclosure and reduced wind load acting upon the structure, additionally producing a shadow pattern on the stairs, which are used as a seating area during the summer. Varying the length of the sheets produces small variations of the bending curve that have been utilised for the overlapping and interlocking of adjacent elements. This is the system of self-stabilisation of the vaults, and the intensity of the forces that need to be transferred into the ground along timber plates is kept to a minimum.

The overall arch-like form of the two legs of the bridge has been in part the result of a form-oriented design approach during the initial design phase, with Gaudi’s hanging chain model as precedence. As in the processes that govern the growth and development of bones, information from stress analysis was used within a feedback loop to successively relocate material along the U-shaped section of the components. This resulted in a differentiated distribution within the profile: a hollowing-out of the pedestrian surface, a thickening of the vertical load-bearing elements, and a concentration of material along the edge of the components. In addition, the process generated the formation of the curved top part of the U-shaped section, the integration of handrails as additional elements stiffened the bridge with respect to lateral loads.
Generative Material Logic

Both of the construction projects above show that material properties have the potential to unfold a generative logic of form-finding, a potential comparable to the use of geometric sets of rules within contemporary digital-design approaches. In this sense, materials have the inherent ability to ‘compute’ efficient forms, and to guide refinements as shown in the shaping of the components of the bridge. This material-immanent logic can support the fabrication and assembly, as in the pavilion project where no additional formwork was required in order to achieve the curved form. Using properties of the material world within the design process can help to simplify construction and make designs attainable. The incorporation of physical necessity of material behaviour as generative input, therefore, can help to unfold the freedom of design. Material constraints do not have to be understood as limitations to the design, but rather as sets of rules complementary to the geometric constraints defined by architectural intention. Form and material work hand in hand to process various load conditions; deformation of form and the distribution of material are reciprocal methods of design that help to ‘digest’ the flow of forces imposed upon the architecture. Freedom of design arises from the balancing of these two principles.

2. The condition of having different structural and or dimensional properties along different axes.
3. The bony protuberances to which muscles are attached to the upper part of the femur.
4. Precedents to this field of work commence with the work of Alvar Aalto and Charles Eames in plywood, and the techniques of scoring, cutting and bending to achieve curvatures have been established in a variety of materials in jewelry design, surface ornamentation, paper and other craft practices, as well as in airplanes and boats. Recent contributions include Skylar Tibbits’ Surface Ornamentation at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) (2008), and The Probotics by Andri Abou-Khalil, Ana Kortan, Diego Rosal and Jose Sanchez of the Architectural Association Design Research Laboratory (AA DRL) (2010).